

## ANTIFUNGAL EFFECTS OF SOME OXADIAZOLE DERIVATIVES ON PATHOGENIC MOLDS

Zafer Asim KAPLANCIKLİ<sup>1,\*</sup>, Mehlika Dilek ALTINTOP<sup>1</sup>, Rasime DEMİREL<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Anadolu University, Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, 26470 Eskişehir, TURKEY

<sup>2</sup>Anadolu University, Faculty of Science, Department of Biology, 26470 Eskişehir, TURKEY

### Abstract

Some oxadiazole derivatives were tested *in vitro* against *Aspergillus parasiticus*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Fusarium solani*, *Fusarium moniliforme* and *Stachybotrys chartarum*. All compounds showed the highest antifungal activity against *S. chartarum*. Among these compounds (**3a-j**), compounds **3a**, **3c**, **3d**, **3e**, **3i**, **3j** exhibited the same level of antifungal activity against all tested mold species. Compounds **3a**, **3c**, **3d**, **3e** possess 4-methoxyphenoxymethyl moiety on oxadiazole ring, whereas compounds **3i** and **3j** carry 2-cyclohexylethyl moiety on oxadiazole ring.

**Key words:** Oxadiazole, Antifungal activity, *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium*.

### Bazı Oksadiazol Türevlerinin Patojenik Küfler üzerindeki Antifungal Etkileri

Bazı oksadiazol türevleri *Aspergillus parasiticus*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Fusarium solani*, *Fusarium moniliforme* and *Stachybotrys chartarum*'a karşı *in vitro* olarak test edilmiştir. Bütün bileşikler en yüksek antifungal etkiyi *S. chartarum*'a karşı göstermiştir. Bu bileşikler (**3a-j**) arasında, **3a**, **3c**, **3d**, **3e**, **3i** ve **3j** bileşikleri test edilen küf türlerine karşı aynı seviyede antifungal etki göstermiştir. **3a**, **3c**, **3d**, **3e** bileşikleri oksadiazol halkası üzerinde 4-metoksifenoksümetil parçasına sahipken, **3i** ve **3j** bileşikleri oksadiazol halkası üzerinde 2-sikloheksiletıl parçası taşımaktadır.

**Anahtar kelimeler:** Oksadiazol, Antifungal etki, *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium*.

\*Correspondence: E-mail: zakaplan@anadolu.edu.tr; Tel: +90-222-3350580/3776;

## INTRODUCTION

Systemic fungal infections due to pathogenic yeasts and molds have emerged as important causes of morbidity and mortality in immunocompromised patients (1).

*Aspergillus* species, which cause a wide range of diseases including allergic syndromes, chronic pulmonary and nasal sinus aspergillosis and acute and subacute invasive disease, are the second most frequent cause of systemic fungal infections after *Candida* species. Among the human pathogenic species of *Aspergillus*, *A. fumigatus* is the primary causative fungus of human infections, followed by *A. flavus*, *A. terreus*, *A. niger*, and the model organism, *A. nidulans* (2-5).

*Fusarium* species also cause a broad spectrum of infections, including superficial, locally invasive, or disseminated infections in immunocompromised patients and allergic diseases (sinusitis) in immunocompetent individuals and mycotoxicosis in humans and animals following the ingestion of food contaminated by toxin-producing *Fusarium* species (6).

There are many drugs belonging to different chemical classes (polyenes, pyrimidines, azoles, and echinocandins) as therapeutic options for fungal infections, the treatment of these infections still remains a challenging problem due to narrow spectrum of activity, low tolerability, or high toxicity accompanying the use of these agents.

Azoles, especially triazoles, play a leading role in the treatment of systemic fungal infections owing to their broad spectrum and improved safety profile. But the widespread use of these drugs has led to the development of resistance in recent years. As a consequence of this situation, medicinal chemists focused on the development of more effective agents with fewer adverse effects (7-10).

Many researchers have focused on oxadiazoles due to the fact that new effective compounds can be obtained by the bioisosteric replacement of triazole ring with oxadiazole ring. Some studies have confirmed that oxadiazole derivatives possess antifungal activity (11-20).

Previously we reported the discovery of new oxadiazole derivatives (Table 1), which were tested *in vitro* against various bacteria species and *Candida albicans* (21). In continuation of our previous work, herein we evaluated antifungal effects of these compounds on pathogenic molds.

## EXPERIMENTAL

### Chemistry

5-Substituted-1,3,4-oxadiazolin-2-thiones were synthesized via the ring closure reactions of appropriate acid hydrazides with carbon disulphide. *N*-(Benzothiazol-2-yl)-2-[[5-substituted-1,3,4-oxadiazol-2-yl]sulfanyl]acetamide derivatives (**3a-j**) were obtained by the nucleophilic substitution reactions of 5-substituted-1,3,4-oxadiazolin-2-thiones with *N*-(benzothiazol-2-yl)-2-chloroacetamides in the presence of potassium carbonate. The synthetic protocol and spectral data of the compounds (**3a-j**) were reported previously by our research group (21).

### Microbiology

The antifungal activities of the compounds (**3a-j**) were tested using the microbroth dilution method with some modifications (22,23,26). Tested fungal strains were *Aspergillus parasiticus* (NRRL-465), *Aspergillus flavus* (NRRL-980), *Aspergillus niger* (ATCC-1094), *Fusarium solani* (NRRL-13414), *Fusarium moniliforme* (NRRL 1866) and *Stachybotrys chartarum* (wild culture) (24). Microbroth dilution-susceptibility assay was used for antifungal evaluation of the compounds. Stock solutions of the samples were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide. Dilution series using sterile distilled water were prepared from 4 mg/mL to 0.0039 mg/mL in micro-test tubes that were transferred to 96-well microtiter plates. Fungal strains grown on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) at 25 °C for 5 suspensions in double-strength Potato Dextrose Broth (PDB) were standardized to 10<sup>5</sup> spores/mL with Thoma counting slide. Hundred microliter of each spore

suspension was then added into the wells. The last well-chain without a fungus was used as a negative control. Sterile distilled water and the medium served as a positive growth control. After incubation at 25 °C for 48-72 h, antifungal activity was detected by investigation of mycelia growing under stereo microscope. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was defined as the lowest concentration of compounds that inhibited visible mycelia growth. Ketoconazole and nystatin were used as standard antifungal agents.

**Table 1.** The chemical structures of the test compounds (**3a-j**)

**3a-j**

Compound	R	R'	Molecular formula	Molecular weight
<b>3a</b>	4-methoxyphenoxyethyl	H	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	428
<b>3b</b>	4-methoxyphenoxyethyl	Cl	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>15</sub> ClN <sub>4</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	462.5
<b>3c</b>	4-methoxyphenoxyethyl	CH <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>18</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	442
<b>3d</b>	4-methoxyphenoxyethyl	OCH <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>18</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>5</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	458
<b>3e</b>	4-methoxyphenoxyethyl	OC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>20</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>5</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	472
<b>3f</b>	2-Cyclohexylethyl	H	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>22</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	402
<b>3g</b>	2-Cyclohexylethyl	Cl	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>21</sub> ClN <sub>4</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	436.5
<b>3h</b>	2-Cyclohexylethyl	CH <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>24</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	416
<b>3i</b>	2-Cyclohexylethyl	OCH <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>24</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	432
<b>3j</b>	2-Cyclohexylethyl	OC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>26</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	446

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, all compounds were tested *in vitro* against *A. parasiticus*, *A. flavus*, *A. niger*, *F. solani*, *F. moniliforme* and *S. chartarum* and compared with ketoconazole and nystatin. The MIC values of the compounds and control drugs are given in Table 2.

All compounds (**3a-j**) showed less antifungal activity than ketoconazole and nystatin. The results indicated that all of the compounds (**3a-j**) exhibited the highest antifungal effect on *S. chartarum*, which is a significant mycotoxigenic airborne mold (25). The compounds (**3a-j**) exhibited the inhibitory activity against *S. chartarum* with a MIC value of 125 µg/mL, whereas ketoconazole exhibited the inhibitory activity with a MIC value of 31.25 µg/mL.

**Table 2.** Antifungal activities of the compounds (**3a-j**) ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ )

Compound	A	B	C	D	E	F
<b>3a</b>	250	125	250	250	250	125
<b>3b</b>	250	250	250	250	250	125
<b>3c</b>	250	125	250	250	250	125
<b>3d</b>	250	125	250	250	250	125
<b>3e</b>	250	125	250	250	250	125
<b>3f</b>	500	125	250	250	500	125
<b>3g</b>	250	500	250	250	250	125
<b>3h</b>	250	250	250	250	250	125
<b>3i</b>	250	125	250	250	250	125
<b>3j</b>	250	125	250	250	250	125
<b>Reference 1</b>	7.81	15.62	31.25	62.5	31.25	31.25
<b>Reference 2</b>	1.95	3.90	7.81	3.90	3.90	1.95

**Reference 1:** Ketoconazole; **Reference 2:** Nystatin

**A:** *A. parasiticus* (NRRL 465), **B:** *A. flavus* (NRRL 980), **C:** *A. niger* (ATCC 1094), **D:** *F. solani* (NRRL 13414), **E:** *F. moniliforme* (NRLL 1866), **F:** *S. chartarum* (wild culture)

Among these compounds (**3a-j**), the compounds bearing 4-methoxyphenoxyethyl moiety on oxadiazole ring (**3a-e**) except **3b** are equally active against *A. flavus*. Although compounds **3f**, **3i** and **3j** do not carry 4-methoxyphenoxyethyl moiety, they showed the same level of antifungal activity against *A. flavus*. They showed the inhibitory activity against *A. flavus* with a MIC value of 125  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ , whereas ketoconazole exhibited the inhibitory activity with a MIC value of 15.62  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ .

All compounds except compound **3f** are equally active against *A. parasiticus*, which is an important food-borne aflatoxigenic mold and *F. moniliforme*, which is a plant pathogenic fungus (25). They exhibited the inhibitory activity with a MIC value of 250  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ , whilst ketoconazole exhibited the inhibitory activity against *A. parasiticus* and *F. moniliforme* with MIC values of 7.81  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  and 31.25  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ , respectively.

All of the compounds exhibited the same level of inhibition against *A. niger* and *F. solani* with a MIC value of 250  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ .

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we evaluated the *in vitro* antifungal activities of some oxadiazole derivatives against *A. parasiticus*, *A. flavus*, *A. niger*, *F. solani*, *F. moniliforme* and *S. chartarum*.

The biological results indicated that *S. chartarum* was the most susceptible fungus to the compounds. All compounds were equally active against *S. chartarum*.

Among these derivatives (**3a-j**), all compounds except **3b**, **3f**, **3g**, **3h** showed the same level of antifungal activity against all tested mold species. Compounds **3a**, **3c**, **3d**, **3e** carry 4-methoxyphenoxyethyl moiety on oxadiazole ring, whereas compounds **3i** and **3j** possess 2-cyclohexylethyl moiety on oxadiazole ring.

## REFERENCES

1. Mukherjee PK, Sheehan DJ, Hitchcock CA, Ghannoum MA, Combination treatment of invasive fungal infections, *Clin Microbiol Rev* 18(1), 163-194, 2005.
2. Verweij PE, Howard SJ, Melchers WJG., Denning DW, Azole-resistance in *Aspergillus*: Proposed nomenclature and breakpoints, *Drug Resist Update* 12, 141-147, 2009.
3. Dagenais TRT, Keller NP, Pathogenesis of *Aspergillus fumigatus* in invasive Aspergillosis, *Clin Microbiol Rev* 22(3), 447-465, 2009.
4. Denning DW, Invasive aspergillosis, *Clin Infect Dis* 26, 781-803, 1998.
5. Albrecht D, Kniemeyer O, Mech F, Gunzer M, Brakhage A, Guthke R, On the way toward systems biology of *Aspergillus fumigatus* infection, *Int J Med Microbiol* 301, 453-459, 2011.
6. Nucci M, Anaissie E, *Fusarium* Infections in Immunocompromised Patients, *Clin Microbiol Rev* 20(4), 695-704, 2007.
7. Sheehan DJ, Hitchcock CA, Sibley CM, Current and emerging azole antifungal agents, *Clin Microbiol Rev* 12(1), 40-79, 1999.
8. Bueid A, Howard SJ, Moore CB, Richardson MD, Harrison E, Bowyer P, Denning DW, Azole antifungal resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*: 2008 and 2009, *J Antimicrob Chemother* 65, 2116-2118, 2010.
9. Shapiro RS, Robbins N, Cowen LE, Regulatory circuitry governing fungal development, drug resistance, and disease, *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 75(2), 213-267, 2011.
10. Canuto MM, Rodero FG, Antifungal drug resistance to azoles and polyenes, *Lancet Infect Dis* 2, 550-563, 2002.
11. Ciapetti P, Giethlen B, Molecular variations based on isosteric replacements, in: Wermuth CM, *The Practice of Medicinal Chemistry*, Academic Press, Burlington, pp. 290-342, 2008.
12. Patani GA, LaVoie EJ, Bioisosterism: A rational approach in drug design, *Chem Rev* 96, 3147-3176, 1996.
13. Sahin G, Palaska E, Ekizoglu M, Özalp M, Synthesis and antimicrobial activity of some 1,3,4-oxadiazole derivatives, *Farmaco* 57, 539-542, 2002.
14. Mayekar AN, Yathirajan HS, Narayana B, Sarojini BK, Suchetha Kumari N, Synthesis and antimicrobial studies on new substituted 1,3,4-oxadiazole derivatives bearing 6-bromonaphthalene moiety, *Int J Chem* 2, 38-54, 2010.
15. Farshori NN, Banday MR, Ahmad A, Khan AU, Rauf A, Synthesis, characterization, and in vitro antimicrobial activities of 5-alkenyl/hydroxyalkenyl-2-phenylamine-1,3,4-oxadiazoles and thiadiazoles, *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 20, 1933-1938, 2010.
16. Chikhalia KH, Vashi DB, Patel MJ, Synthesis of a novel class of some 1,3,4-oxadiazole derivatives as antimicrobial agents, *J Enzym Inhib Med Chem* 24(3), 617-622, 2009.
17. Karabasanagouda T, Adhikari AV, Shetty NS, Synthesis and antimicrobial activities of some novel 1,3,4-oxadiazoles carrying alkylthio and alkylsulphonylphenoxy moieties, *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon* 182, 2925-2941, 2007.
18. Bakht MA, Shahar Yar M, Abdel-Hamid SG, Al Qasoumi SI, Samad A, Molecular properties prediction, synthesis and antimicrobial activity of some newer oxadiazole derivatives, *Eur J Med Chem* 45, 5862-5869, 2010.
19. Bala S, Kamboj S, Kumar A, Heterocyclic 1,3,4-oxadiazole compounds with diverse biological activities: A comprehensive review, *J Pharm Res* 3(12), 2993-2997, 2010.
20. Padmavathi V, Sudhakar Reddy G, Padmaja A, Kondaiah P, Shazia A, Synthesis, antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of 1,3,4-oxadiazoles, 1,3,4-thiadiazoles and 1,2,4-triazoles, *Eur J Med Chem* 44, 2106-2112, 2009.
21. Kaplancikli ZA, Altintop MD, Turan-Zitouni G, Ozdemir A, Ozic R, Akalın G, Synthesis, Antimicrobial Activity and Cytotoxicity of Novel Oxadiazole Derivatives, *J Enzym Inhib Med Chem* 27(1), 51-57, 2012.

22. Winn WC, Allen SD, Janda WM, Koneman EW, Procop GW, Schreckenberger PC, Woods GL, Color Atlas and Textbook of Diagnostic Microbiology, 6th Edition, pp. 945, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore and Philadelphia, 2006.
23. Espinel-Ingroff A, In Vitro Fungicidal Activities of Voriconazole, Itraconazole, and amphotericin B against opportunistic moniliaceous and dematiaceous fungi, *J Clin Microbiol* 39(3), 954-958, 2001.
24. Demirel R, İlhan S, Asan A, Kinaci E, Öner S, Microfungi in cultivated fields in Eskişehir province (Turkey), *J Basic Microbiol* 45(5), 279-293, 2005.
25. Samson RA, Hoekstra ES, Frisvad JC, Introduction to food and airborne fungi, 7th Edition, pp. 389, CBS, The Netherlands, 2004.
26. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Filamentous Fungi; Approved Standard-Second Edition. CLSI document M38-A2, Vol. 28, No. 16, Wayne, PA, 2008.

Received: 17.11.2011

Accepted: 12.01.2012